25182. Adulteration of eggs. U. S. v. 209 Crates of Eggs. Consent decree of condemnation. Product released under bond. (F. & D. no. 36404. Sample no. 32092-B.)

This case involved a shipment of shell eggs which were in part decomposed. On or about August 20, 1935, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Illinois, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 209 crates of eggs at Chicago, Ill., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about August 15, 1935, by H. W. Richter, Fremont, Nebr., and charging adulteration in violation of the Food and Drugs Act.

The article was alleged to be adulterated in that it consisted in whole or

in part of a filthy, decomposed, or putrid animal substance.

On August 22, 1935, Max Herz & Sons, Inc., Chicago, Ill., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel and having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation was entered and it was ordered that the product be released under bond conditioned that the good eggs be separated from the bad and the former, only, disposed of for consumption as food.

W. R. Gregg, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

25183. Adulteration and misbranding of butter. U. S. v. 3 Tubs of Butter. Default decree of condemnation. Product delivered to charitable institution. (F. & D. no. 36383. Sample no. 30562-B.)

This case involved tub butter that was deficient in milk fat.

On August 23, 1935, the United States attorney for the Southern District of New York, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court a libel praying seizure and condemnation of three tubs of butter at New York, N. Y., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about August 19, 1935, by Roanoke Butter & Cheese, Inc., from Roanoke, Va., and charging adulteration and misbranding in violation of the Food and Drugs Act.

The article was labeled in part: "Arrow Dairy * * * New York N Y Process Butter * * * Factory No. 1 * * * Roanoke Va."

The article was alleged to be adulterated in that a product containing less than 80 percent by weight of milk fat had been substituted for butter, a product which should contain not less than 80 percent of milk fat, as provided by the act of March 4, 1923.

Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the statement on the label, "Butter", was false and misleading.

On September 21, 1935, no claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation was entered and it was ordered that the product be delivered to a charitable institution.

W. R. Gregg, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

25184. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. 8 Tubs and 8 Tubs of Butter. Default decrees of condemnation and destruction. (F. & D. nos. 35712, 35714. Sample nos. 22604-B, 22607-B.)

These cases involved butter samples of which were found to contain mold

and fragments of insects.

On May 29, 1935, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Louisiana, acting upon reports by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court libels praying seizure and condemnation of 16 tubs of butter at New Orleans, La., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce, on or about May 21 and May 25, 1935, by the McComb Dairy Products Co., from McComb, Miss., and charging adulteration and misbranding in violation of the Food and Drugs Act as amended. The misbranding charge was stricken by amendments to the libels dated June 5 and June 6, 1935, respectively.

The article was alleged to be adulterated in that it consisted in whole or in part of a filthy and decomposed animal substance.

On July 9, 1935, no claimant having appeared, judgments of condemnation were entered and it was ordered that the product be destroyed.

W. R. Gregg, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

25185. Adulteration of tomato puree. U. S. v. 508 Cases, et al., of Tomato Puree. Default decrees of condemnation and destruction. (F. & D. nos. 35687 to 35690, incl. Sample nos. 32271-B, 32272-B, 32335-B, 32336-B.)

These cases involved canned tomato puree that contained excessive mold. On June 29 and July 1, 1935, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Missouri, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in